REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1-3, 5-10, and 12-19 have been amended. No new matter has been introduced. Applicants believe the claims comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112 and § 101.

Claims 1-7, 16, 17, 8-14, and 18-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Friedrich et al. (US 6,276,877).

Claims 1-7, 16, and 17

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 1 as amended is novel and patentable over Friedrich et al. because, for instance, Friedrich et al. does not teach or suggest storing a control strategy of said information processing system including a provision to policies each of which defines a process to be executed in said information processing system; and changing parameters for the processes so that said policies meet the control strategy when said parameters are set to said processes defined in said policies.

Friedrich et al. is directed to changing the configuration of a computer system by using the display and display commands. Nothing in Friedrich et al. suggests a control strategy including a provision to policies and changing parameters for the processes so that the policies meet the control strategy.

For at least the foregoing reasons, claim 1, and claims 2-7, 16, and 17 depending therefrom, are novel and patentable over Friedrich et al.

Claims 8-14 and 18-20

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 8 as amended is novel and patentable over Friedrich et al. because, for instance, Friedrich et al. does not teach or suggest a global policy table configured to store a control strategy of said information processing system including a provision to policies each of which defines a process to be executed in said information processing system; and a parameter changer configured to change parameters for the processes so that said policies meet said control strategy when said parameters are set to said processes in said policies.

Appl. No. 10/805,023 Amdt. dated January 13, 2006

Reply to Office Action of October 28, 2005

As discussed above, Friedrich et al. is directed to changing the configuration of a computer system by using the display and display commands. Nothing in Friedrich et al. suggests a control strategy including a provision to policies and changing parameters for the processes so that the policies meet the control strategy.

For at least the foregoing reasons, claim 8, and claims 9-14 and 18-20 depending therefrom, are novel and patentable over Friedrich et al.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

for Adu

Chun-Pok Leung Reg. No. 41,405

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 650-326-2400 Fax: 415-576-0300

RL:rl 60677350 v1